#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

FILED

2013 SEP 11 ALTO: 50

REGION 6

IN THE MATTER OF

ORB Exploration, LLC Frog Lake Facility Iberville Parish, Louisiana CWA SECTION 311 CLASS I CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER UNDER 40 CFR § 22.13(b)

Respondent.

Docket No. CWA-06-2013-4825

#### LEGAL AUTHORITY

1. This Consent Agreement is proposed and entered into under the authority vested in the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Clean Water Act ("Act"), 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b)(6)(B)(i), as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and under the authority provided by 40 CFR §§ 22.13(b) and 22.18(b)(2). The Administrator has delegated these authorities to the Regional Administrator of EPA, Region 6, who has in turn delegated them to the Director of the Superfund Division of EPA, Region 6, who has, by his concurrence, re-delegated the authority to act as Complainant to the Associate Director Prevention and Response Branch in Region 6, Delegation No. R6-2-51, dated February 13, 2008 ("Complainant").

#### CONSENT AGREEMENT

## SPCC Stipulations

The parties, in their own capacity or by their attorneys or other authorized representatives, hereby stipulate:

- 2. Section 311(j)(1)(C) of the Act, 33 USC § 1321(j)(1)(C), provides that the President shall issue regulations "establishing procedures, methods, and equipment and other requirements for equipment to prevent discharges of oil from onshore or offshore vessels and from onshore or offshore facilities, and to contain such discharges . . . ."
- 3. Initially by Executive Order 11548 (July 20, 1970), 35 Fed. Reg. 11677 (July 22, 1970), and most recently by Section 2(b)(1) of Executive Order 12777 (October 18, 1991), 56 Fed. Reg. 54757 (October 22, 1991), the President delegated to EPA his Section 311(j)(1)(C) authority to issue the regulations referenced in the preceding Paragraph for non-transportation-related onshore and offshore facilities.
- 4. EPA promulgated the Spill Prevention Control & Countermeasure (SPCC) regulations pursuant to delegated statutory authorities, and pursuant to its authorities under the Clean Water Act, 33 USC § 1251 et seq., which established certain procedures, methods and other requirements upon each owner and operator of a non-transportation-related onshore or off-shore facility, if such facility, due to its location, could reasonably be expected to discharge oil into or upon the navigable waters of the United States and their adjoining shorelines in such quantity as EPA has determined in 40 CFR § 110.3 may be harmful to the public health or welfare or the environment of the United States ("harmful quantity").
- 5. In promulgating 40 CFR § 110.3, which implements Section 311(b)(4) of the Act, 33 USC § 1321(b)(4), EPA has determined that discharges of harmful quantities include oil discharges that cause either (1) a violation of applicable water quality standards or (2) a film, sheen upon, or discoloration of the surface of the water or adjoining shorelines, or (3) a sludge or emulsion to be deposited beneath the surface of the water or upon adjoining shorelines.

- 6. Respondent is a firm conducting business in the State of Louisiana, with a place of business located at 300 Rue Beauregard, Building A, Lafayette, Louisiana, 70508, and is a person within the meaning of Sections 311(a)(7) and 502(5) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1321(a)(7) and 1362(5), and 40 CFR § 112.2.
- 7. Respondent is the owner within the meaning of Section 311(a)(6) of the Act, 33 USC § 1321(a)(6), and 40 CFR § 112.2 of an oil production facility located in Iberville Parish,

  Louisiana ("the facility"). The approximate coordinates of the facility are 30.19444° N and

  -91.46417° W. Drainage from the facility travels to Bayou Sorrel; thence the Intracoastal Waterway.
- 8. The facility has an aggregate above-ground storage capacity greater than 1320 gallons of oil in containers each with a shell capacity of at least 55 gallons. Facility capacity is approximately 180,820 gallons.
- 9. Bayou Sorrel and the Intracoastal Waterway are navigable waters of the United States within the meaning of 40 CFR § 112.2.
- 10. Respondent is engaged in drilling, producing, gathering, storing, processing, refining, transferring, distributing, using or consuming oil or oil products located at the facility.
- 11. The facility is therefore a non-transportation-related offshore facility which, due to its location, could reasonably be expected to discharge oil to a navigable water of the United States or its adjoining shorelines in a harmful quantity ("an SPCC-regulated facility").
- 12. Pursuant to Section 311(j)(1)(C) of the Act, E.O. 12777, and 40 CFR § 112.1 Respondent, as the owner of an SPCC-regulated facility, is subject to the SPCC regulations.
  - 13. The facility began operating before August 16, 2002.

## SPCC Allegations

- 14. 40 CFR § 112.3 requires that the owner or operator of an SPCC-regulated facility must prepare a SPCC plan in writing, and implement that plan in accordance with 40 CFR § 112.7 and any other applicable section of 40 CFR Part 112.
- 15. May 15, 2013, EPA inspected the facility and found that Respondent had failed to fully implement its SPCC plan for the facility. Respondent failed to fully implement such an SPCC plan for the facility as follows:
  - a. Respondent failed to amend their plan within six months of a change that materially affects the potential for a discharge as set forth at 40 CFR § 112.5(a);
  - b. Respondent' plan does not adequately address all of the equipment at the facility in accordance with 40 CFR § 112.7(a)(3)(i);
  - e. Respondent' plan does not predict the rate of flow for a potential discharge as set forth at 40 CFR § 112.7(b);
  - d. Respondent's plan failed to adequately discuss secondary containment for the facility. The sump system at the facility is disconnected in violation of 40 CFR § 112.7(c);
  - e. Respondent failed to adequately conduct and document facility inspections in accordance with 40 CFR § 112.7(e);
  - f. Respondent failed to document personnel training in accordance with 40 CFR § 112.7(f);
  - g. Respondent's plan failed to fully discuss conformance with 40 CFR § 112.11;
  - h. Respondent failed to provide adequate secondary containment for the facility. The facility sump was disconnected and no provisions were in place for automatic or redundant pump systems as required at 40 CFR § 112.11(b) and (c);

- i. Respondent failed to provide written procedures for inspecting and testing pollution prevention equipment and systems in accordance with 40 CFR § 112.11(h);
- j. Respondent failed to conduct inspection and testing on a scheduled periodic basis in accordance with 40 CFR § 112.11(i);
- k. Respondent failed to provide detailed records that describe surface and subsurface well shut-in valves and devices in use at the facility in accordance with 40 CFR § 112.11(j);
- 1. Respondent failed to adequately ensure that piping associated with the facility is protected from corrosion in accordance with 40 CFR § 112.11(n);
- m. Respondent failed to ensure that sub-marine piping appurtenant to the facility is adequately protected against environmental stresses and other activities such as fishing operations in accordance with 40 CFR § 112.11(o);
- n. Respondent failed to provide annual pressure test data for submerged piping to in accordance with 40 CFR § 112.11(p);
- 16. Respondent's failure to fully implement its SPCC plan for the facility violated 40 CFR § 112.3, and impacted its ability to prevent an oil spill.

#### FRP Stipulations

- 17. The facility is a non-transportation-related facility within the meaning of 40 CFR § 112.2 Appendix A, as incorporated by reference within 40 CFR § 112.2.
- 18. The facility is an offshore facility within the meaning of Section 311(a)(10) of the Act, 33 USC § 1321(a)(11), 40 CFR § 112.2, and 40 CFR § 112 Appendix B.
- 19. Section 311(j)(5)(A) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(j)(5)(A), provides that the President shall issue regulations requiring each owner or operator of certain facilities to "submit to the President a plan for responding, to the maximum extent practicable, to a worst case

discharge, and to a substantial threat of such a discharge, of oil or a hazardous substance."

- 20. By Section 2(d)(1) of Executive Order 12777 (October 18, 1991), the President delegated to the Administrator of EPA the authorities under Section 311(j)(5)(A) of the Act.
- 21. The Administrator of EPA promulgated regulations, codified within Subparts A and D of 40 CFR Part 112 ("the [Facility Response Plan] FRP regulations"), implementing these delegated statutory authorities.
- 22. The facility has a total oil storage capacity of greater than or equal to 42,000 and transfers oil over water to or from vessels.
- 23. The facility is therefore a non-transportation related, offshore facility within the meaning of 40 CFR § 112.2 that, because of its location, could reasonably be expected to cause substantial harm to the environment by discharging oil into or on the navigable waters or adjoining shorelines, within the meaning of Section 311(j)(5)(B)(iii) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(j)(5)(B)(iii), and 40 CFR § 112.20(f)(1) ("an FRP-regulated facility").
- 24. Therefore, Respondent, as the owner/operator of a FRP-regulated facility, is subject to the FRP regulations found at 40 CFR, § 112.20.
  - 25. The facility began operation before February 18, 1993.
- 26. It is stipulated that pursuant to Section 311(j)(5) of the Act and 40 CFR § 112.20, the owner or operator of an FRP-regulated facility in operation on or before February 18, 1993, must no later than that date submit a Facility Response Plan (FRP) that satisfies the requirements of Section 311(j)(5).

## FRP Allegations

- 27. On May 15, 2013, EPA inspected the facility and found that Respondent had failed to properly develop and implement an FRP in accordance with 40 CFR § 112.20.
- 28. Respondent's failure to properly develop and implement an FRP violates the requirements of Section 311(j)(5) of the Act and 40 CFR § 112.20.

#### Waiver of Rights

29. Respondent admits the jurisdictional allegations set forth above and neither admits nor denies the other specific violations alleged above. Respondent waives the right to a hearing under Section 311(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b)(6)(B)(i), and to appeal any Final Order in this matter under Section 311(b)(6)(G)(i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1321(b)(6)(G)(i), and consents to the issuance of a Final Order without further adjudication.

## Penalty

30. The Complainant proposes, and Respondent consents to, the assessment of a civil penalty of \$32,100.00.

#### **Payment Terms**

Based on the forgoing, the parties, in their own capacity or by their attorneys or authorized representatives, hereby agree that:

31. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the Final Order, the Respondent shall pay the amount of \$32,100.00 by means of a cashier's or certified check, or by electronic funds transfer (EFT). The Respondent shall submit this Consent Agreement and Final Order, with original signature, along with documentation of the penalty payment to:

OPA Enforcement Coordinator
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 6 (6SF-PC)
1445 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75202-2733

- If you are paying by check, pay the check to "Environmental Protection Agency," noting on the check "OSTLF-311" and docket number CWA-06-2013-4825. If you use the U.S. Postal Service, address the payment to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Fines & Penalties P.O. Box 979077. St. Louis, MO 63197-9000

- If you use a private delivery service, address the payment to:

U.S. Bank 1005 Convention Plaza, Mail Station SL-MO-C2GL St. Louis, MO 63101

- The Respondent shall submit copies of the check (or, in the case of an EFT transfer, copies of the EFT confirmation) to the following person:

Lorena Vaughn
Regional Hearing Clerk (6RC)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 6
1445 Ross Avenue
Dallas, TX 75202-2733

32. Failure by the Respondent to pay the penalty assessed by the Final Order in full by its due date may subject Respondent to a civil action to collect the assessed penalty, plus interest, attorney's fees, costs and an additional quarterly nonpayment penalty pursuant to Section 311(b)(6)(H) of the Act, 33 USC §1321(b)(6)(H). In any such collection action, the validity, amount and appropriateness of the penalty agreed to herein shall not be subject to review.

## **General Provisions**

33. The Final Order shall be binding upon Respondent and Respondent's officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, and successors or assigns.

34. The Final Order does not constitute a waiver, suspension or modification of the requirements of Section 311 of the Act, 33 USC §1321, or any regulations promulgated thereunder, and does not affect the right of the Administrator or the United States to pursue any applicable injunctive or other equitable relief or criminal sanctions for any violation of law. Payment of the penalty pursuant to this Consent Agreement resolves only Respondent's liability for federal civil penalties for the violations and facts stipulated to and alleged herein.

**ORB Exploration, LLC** 

Date: 8/(2/13)

Tim McCauley VP of Engineering

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Date

Robert R. Broyles
Associate Director

Prevention & Response Branch

Superfund Division

Docket No. CWA-06-2013-4825

#### FINAL ORDER

Pursuant to Section 311(b)(6) of the Act, 33 USC §1321(b)(6) and the delegated authority of the undersigned, and in accordance with the "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties, Issuance of Compliance or Corrective Action Orders, and the Revocation, Termination or Suspension of Permits," codified at 40 CFR Part 22, the forgoing Consent Agreement is hereby approved and incorporated by reference into this Final Order, and the Stipulations by the parties and Allegations by the Complainant are adopted as Findings in this Final Order.

The Respondent is ordered to comply with the terms of the Consent Agreement.

Date: 9/9/13

Carl E. Edlund/P.E

Director

Superfund Division

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that the original and one copy of the foregoing "Consent Agreement and Final Order," issued pursuant to 40 C.F.R. 22.13(b), was filed on <u>9-1/</u>, 2013, with the Regional Hearing Clerk, U.S. EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, TX 75202-2733; and that on the same date a copy of the same was sent to the following, in the manner specified below:

NAME: Tim McCauley

ADDRESS: 300 Rue Beauregard, Bldg. A

Lafayette, LA 70508

Frankie Merkham

Frankie Markham

**OPA Enforcement Administrative Assistant**